

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,854	01/30/2004	Yusuke Fukuchi	03500.000091.	4133		
5514	7590 02/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			LE, DUN	LE, DUNG ANH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			2818			
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006	6 .		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\omega$			
Office Action Summary				•			
		10/766,854	FUKUCHI, YUSUKE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	T. MAII NO DATE (41)	DUNG A. LE	2818				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sn	eet with the correspondence addres	SS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMING 136(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideratio					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
	The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/are		o) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureaction for a list	its have been receive its have been receive prity documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Application No been received in this National Sta ).	ge			
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Tration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Der No(s)/Mail Date 1/18/2006.	Pap	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:	Le 2)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### This is a new ground of rejection

#### Oath/Declaration

The oath/declaration filed on 1/30/2004 is acceptable.

#### **Information Disclosure Statement**

This office acknowledges of the following items from the Applicant:

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 1/18/2006 has/have been considered and made of record. The references cited on the PTOL 1449 form have been considered.

### **Specification**

The specification is objected to for the following reason:

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed (see MPEP § 606.01).

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,854

Art Unit: 2818

## Claim Rejections

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Sera et al. (2005/0212074 A1).

Sera et al. teach a processing method for forming an insulated film 22 on a surface of a substrate 1 to be processed, through an oxynitriding treatment, the processing method comprising the steps of:

nitriding a surface of the substrate by irradiating plasma containing nitrogen atoms onto the substrate [0050-0051]; and

oxidizing the surface of the substrate, which has been nitrided, by irradiating plasma containing oxygen atoms [0052].

Application/Control Number: 10/766,854 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

**Regarding claim 2**, wherein the nitriding and oxidizing steps place the substrate on a susceptor, a temperature of the susceptor being maintained at 600C. or lower (300-650C, see [0050; 0052; 0059]).

Regarding claim 3, wherein the substrate include silicon, and the nitriding and oxidizing steps control a process time so that the insulated film has an effective oxide thickness of 3.0 nm or smaller [0052].

Regarding claim 4, wherein the nitriding step uses [0050], as process gas, gas that includes at least one of N.sub.2, NH.sub.3 and N.sub.2H.sub.4 or the one which is diluted with at least one of He, Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe, mixed gas of H.sub.2+N.sub.2 or the one which is diluted with at least one of He, Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe.

Regarding claim 5, wherein the oxidizing step gas uses [0052], as process gas, gas that includes at least one of O.sub.2, O.sub.3, H.sub.2O, and H.sub.2O.sub.2 or the one which is diluted with at least one of He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe and N.sub.2.

**Regarding claim 6,** wherein the oxidizing step sets ion energy to be 5 eV or smaller incident to the substrate from the plasma [0060].

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over in view of Sera et al. the following remark.

Regarding claim 7, Sera et al. teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 except for the substrate includes silicon, and the oxidizing step controls an oxygen atom concentration so that a nitrogen atom concentration is 5% or smaller at a position near an interface between the silicon and a silicon oxynitride film in the insulated film as cited in current claim.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art making semiconductor device to determine the workable or optimal value for the

Art Unit: 2818

substrate includes silicon, and the oxidizing step controls an oxygen atom concentration so that a nitrogen atom concentration is 5% or smaller at a position near an interface between the silicon and a silicon oxynitride film in the insulated film through routine experimentation and optimization to optimal device performance.

Regarding claim 8, Sera et al. teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 except for the nitriding step controls a process time so that the insulated film contains the nitrogen atoms between  $3x10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $1.5x10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> that is converted into a surface density.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the nitriding step controls a process time so that the insulated film contains the nitrogen atoms between  $3x10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $1.5x10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> that is converted into a surface density., since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,854

Art Unit: 2818

When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advice to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Page 7

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung A. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday 6:00am- 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The central fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUNG A. LE
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 281